

Alex Beevers

Year of Call: 2022



Since joining chambers in September 2023, Alex has developed a busy criminal practice handling matters of a seriousness and complexity that reflect the confidence placed in him by instructing solicitors.

Alex is frequently requested by name, valued for a robust but down-to-earth manner that puts clients at ease. Alex brings a natural warmth and adaptability to each case. A charming and engaging trial advocate, Alex has a talent for connecting with juries, as evidenced by his demonstrable track record of securing successful outcomes for his clients.

Alex is routinely instructed in cases involving serious violence, sexual offences, gang-related offences, firearms and drugs conspiracies. He also has a strong interest in financial offences and associated confiscation proceedings.

[VIEW PRIVACY POLICY](#)

Education

BPP London Holborn
- BPTC (Very Competent)

University of Manchester

Degree(s)
- LLM Intellectual Property Law(Distinction)
- LLB Law (1:1)

Awards

- Master of Laws Outstanding Achievement Award (student with the highest overall mark in the LLM programmes).
- Master of Laws Outstanding Performance Award (student with no grade below 70%).
- Master of Laws Outstanding Achievement Award in Corporate, Financial and IP Law (student with the highest overall mark in IP/ITT/CG/IFL).
- Intellectual Property Law Teacher's Award for Outstanding Research (student with the exceptional grade in research/dissertation)

Notable Cases

R v BS (2026)- 22-year-old defendant accused of Strangulation and Assault. Unanimously acquitted by jury following contested trial.

R v KT (2026)- Defendant accused of Assaulting an Emergency Worker. Prosecution conceded section 78 argument that police entry to private premises was unlawful and therefore householder defence could not

be rebutted. Defendant acquitted following prosecution offering no evidence on day of trial.

R v KA, TK, NY, NT - Represented D4 in a Fraud/Money laundering trial. Secured the only acquittal after a 4-week trial.

R v PW (2025)- Defendant accused of offences and Strangulation and Battery. Unanimously acquitted of both counts.

R v CM (2025)- Defendant accused of dwelling house Burglary. Third strike burglar who was liable to 3-year mandatory minimum. Bad character evidence admitted, defendant's DNA recovered from the windowsill, and cell site material placed the defendant's phone in the vicinity of burgled property. Unanimously acquitted.

R v ME (2025)- Defendant accused of offences of Coercive Control, section 47 ABH, and Strangulation. The evidence involved a contemporaneous 999 call, previous disclosures to professionals, medical evidence, and alleged injury photographs. Defendant acquitted on a majority after 5-day trial.

R v SG (2025) - Defendant accused of Strangulation and Battery against former partner. Unanimously acquitted of both counts after trial.

R v TSS (2025) - Defendant accused of serious allegation of sustained Strangulation causing unconsciousness and injury. Bad character evidence of previous domestic violence admitted. Defendant acquitted after trial.

R v RF (2025) - Defendant was 78-year-old dementia patient accused of Sexual Assault by a nurse. Bad character evidence in the form of 8 previous convictions for sexual assaults admitted at a finding of fact hearing. Defendant acquitted following successful submission of no case to answer on the issue of identification.

R v NN (2025) - Defendant accused of Strangulation and Battery. Acquitted following successful cross examination of the complainant.

R v AE (2025) - Defendant charged with 2 offences of Witness Intimidation, Possession Bladed Article, and Criminal Damage. Prosecution conceded application to dismiss on the basis of insufficiency of identification evidence.

R v NL and IC (2024)- Represented D2 who was jointly charged with Robbery and Attempted Robbery. Defendant had previous conviction for Robbery involving same co-defendant. Defendant acquitted after 7-day trial. Co-defendant convicted of Robbery and section 20 GBH.

R v MW et al (2024) - Defendant accused of False Imprisonment and Blackmail arising from the enforcement of a drug debt. Successful application to dismiss made in respect of False Imprisonment

offence. Defendant acquitted of Blackmail.

R v LM (2024) – Defendant acquitted of two offences of Section 47 ABH and one offence of Breaching a Restraining Order following successful cross examination of the complainant.

R v AL (2024)– Defendant acquitted of offences of Coercive Control and section 4A Stalking following successful cross examination of the complainant.

Sentence

R v CH et al (2026) – 5 years 5 months imposed in case involving a very serious joint enterprise Robbery where victim was stabbed. Defendant convicted of Robbery, section 20 Wounding, and Possession Bladed Article. Defendant had previous for knife point Robbery. No dangerousness finding made.

R v AM (2026)– 24 months suspended for 24 months for ‘leading role’ Production and supply offence in which the defendant had rented a property used exclusively for a cannabis grow and for his own supply line.

R v DH (2025) – Defendant initially charged with an offence of attempted section 18 Wounding and Possession Bladed Article. Defendant had previous convictions for Robbery and Possession Bladed Article. Negotiations with prosecution resulted in acceptable pleas to Affray and Possession Bladed Article. Secured sentence of 12 months suspended for 2 years.

R v MB(2025) – Secured sentence of 3 years imprisonment for Conspiracy to Supply 7kg cocaine.

R v AW (2025) – Defendant charged with street robbery of elderly victim. 3-year community order imposed.

R v LM (2025) – 22 months custody imposed for offences of Possessing a Firearm upon Arrest, section 4A Stalking, and Battery. Following the provision of defence firearms expert report, the prosecution conceded that firearm was not ‘readily convertible’ in accordance with Firearms Act 1982 and therefore defendant avoided the mandatory minimum term of 5 years imprisonment.

R v CK, JW, and RM (2025) – Case which attracted national press interest. Defendant was in a relationship with co-defendant who was a serving GMP police officer charged with misconduct in public office. Defendant was assisted in running drugs line from prison and was charged with 3 offences of Possession Prohibited Items in Prison, as well as 3 Public Order offences and Possession Bladed Article. Sentence of 2 years 8 months imposed.

R v PA (2025) – Paranoid schizophrenic charged with offences of section 18 GBH, section 20 GBH, and Strangulation against former partner. Scrutiny of medical evidence resulted in acceptance of plea to section 47 ABH and Strangulation. 3 years custody imposed and dangerousness finding avoided.

R v EW (2024) – Defendant charged with serious section 18 involving injury to the face. Negotiations with the prosecution resulted in acceptance of plea to a section 20. Defendant had previous for Robbery and Threatening with a Bladed Article in the same location. Dangerousness finding and lengthy custodial sentence avoided.

R v DB (2024) – Secured suspended sentence 15 months suspended for 18 months for defendant charged with importation of 47kg cannabis from Thailand.

R v JG and MG (2024) – Defendants charged with Conspiracy to Convey Prohibited Items into Prison. Case resolved on day of trial. Secured suspended sentence 10 months suspended for 18 months.

R v DM (2024) – Defendant charged with Arson with Intent to Endanger life and had previous conviction for Reckless Arson. Case resolved by way of acceptable pleas on day of trial to Threats to Destroy Property and Battery. Defendant avoided dangerousness finding and released time served.

R v AW (2024) – Secured sentence of 2 years suspended for 2 years for a case involving £77,000 benefit fraud committed over sustained 5-year period.

R v KW (2023) – Defendant charged with Possession of an Imitation Firearm with Intent to Cause Fear of Violence. Negotiations with prosecution resulted in an acceptable plea to an offence of section 4 Threatening Behaviour. Defendant time served on a qualifying curfew.

R v AT (2023) – Secured sentence of 2 years suspended for 2 years for defendant who had pleaded guilty to Being Concerned in the Supply of Class A and Class B drugs.

R v BM (2023) – Contested trial of issue in relation to level of sophistication involved in the theft of an Audi Q5. Following cross examination of prosecution witnesses, defendant sentenced on his basis of plea resulting in lower categorisation on guidelines and imposition of suspended sentence 18 months suspended for 18 months.

R v PL (2026) – Circa £340,000 POCA resolved at contested hearing.

R v CW (2025) –£183,842.89 POCA resolved at contested hearing. Prosecution concede argument regarding whether “bitcoin” was a tainted gift. Defendant only required to pay circa 7.7k.